Hari · tihnkering

PORTFOLIO · LONG-FORM RESEARCH FEATURE · 2026

The Great Unbundling

How a 25-year revolution dismantled the professional services bundle. An 8,000-word magazine feature with a cited twin for editors, built on thirteen-country research and a verification ledger that dropped four widely repeated "industry figures."

8,000	74	13	4
WORDS, CLEAN DRAFT	ENDNOTES, CITED TWIN	COUNTRIES RESEARCHED	CLAIMS DROPPED

THE THESIS

The AI headlines about McKinsey's 25,000 agents and WPP's collapsing share price are not AI stories. They are the third wave of a structural transformation that began in law firms in 2000, ran through advertising from 2008, and is now completing in consulting. The mechanism is the same each time: cost pressure creates the question, infrastructure provides the answer, the coordination layer collapses, and what emerges is the fractional executive, senior talent that no longer needs institutional scaffolding. The lag between infrastructure and unbundling shrank from ten years to six to two.

HOW IT IS BUILT

Two scenes bracket the piece: a fractional marketing executive in Bengaluru and WPP's departing CEO in London, who never meet. Between them, the mechanism (Part I), three waves with a named first mover in each (Part II), the incumbents' attempts to disrupt themselves and the one that has so far succeeded, Publicis (Part III), the geographic test, India, China as the counter-case, and PwC's exit from Germany (Part IV), and the implications, including a governance gap the optimists ignore (Part V).

WHAT WENT INTO IT

Three research waves on the legal, advertising and universal cases; six-vector unbundling assessments for thirteen countries and regions, from full chapters on the US, UK, India, Japan, Germany, France and Singapore to a counter-case on China; a causal-validation pass on each wave; and a claims ledger that tracked every statistic to a primary or credible secondary source. Four drafts. Three deliverables: an executive summary, the clean magazine text, and an identical cited version with 74 endnotes as full URLs for editorial checking.

WHY IT BELONGS IN THIS PORTFOLIO

It is the clearest demonstration of the working rule on this profile: no uncitable numbers. The fractional-executive market "valued at $5.7 billion" and the "Gartner prediction" that a third of midsize companies will employ fractional executives by 2027 both circulate widely. Neither survived sourcing. Both were cut, and the argument rebuilt on what did.

AT A GLANCE

Format	**Magazine cover feature**
Length	**~8,000 words + summary**
Versions	**Clean, cited, executive summary**
Research	**13 countries, 3 industry waves**
Window	**Feb 2026 to present**
Status	**Complete draft, v4**
Role	**Research design, analysis, writing**

> *A statistic that cannot be traced to its origin is not evidence. It is rumour with a decimal point.*

CONTENTS

Prologue Two worlds
I The mechanism
II Three waves: legal, advertising, consulting
III Beyond the pattern: self-disruption, the Publicis exception
IV The global proof: India, China, Germany
V Implications and the governance gap
Closing Return to the beginning

Role: research design, analysis and writing. Executive summary, opening excerpt and the verification ledger follow. Full manuscript available on request.

The AI headlines, and what they hide

McKinsey now runs 25,000 AI agents alongside 40,000 humans, targeting parity by end of 2026. BCG's slide-generation tool has been used more than 450,000 times. Bain reports 20% throughput uplift from ChatGPT Enterprise across 13,000 consultants. Deloitte is scrapping traditional job titles for 181,500 U.S. employees. KPMG is collapsing 120-plus country firms into 30 to 40 economic units. PwC has reduced the number of U.S. offices where entry-level consultants can start from 72 to 13.

In advertising, WPP launched a self-serve AI platform that lets brands create and publish campaigns without agency involvement. Its stock has fallen roughly 66% in the past year. Publicis, by contrast, reports that 73% of its operating model is AI-powered and guides 4 to 5 percent organic growth while WPP guides negative 5.5 to negative 6.

These look like AI stories. They are not.

The thesis

AI is the accelerant, not the origin. A 25-year structural transformation has been dismantling the professional services bundle, following the same causal mechanism in every industry it touches: cost pressure creates the question, infrastructure provides the answer, the coordination layer collapses, and in its place emerges the fractional executive.

The piece traces three waves. Legal (2000-2015), where Mark Harris founded Axiom and the Alternative Legal Service Provider market grew from nearly nothing to $20.6 billion. Advertising (2008-2025), where social media created a latency crisis that structurally broke the agency model and in-house agencies rose from 42% to 82% of brands. Consulting (2022-present), where AI threatens not just execution but the strategy layer itself. The timeline compressed with each wave: ten years in legal, six in advertising, two in consulting.

The AI paradox at the centre

AI is simultaneously the tool incumbents are using to save themselves and the force that makes saving themselves harder. McKinsey's "25-squared" model pushes client-facing roles up 25% and cuts non-client-facing roles by 25%. The productivity gains are real. But the work being automated is junior work, the research, modelling and deck production that trained the next generation of partners. The institutions are automating their own succession pipeline.

Meanwhile, 68% of fractional executives are already using AI as a force multiplier. The same tools McKinsey deploys to preserve the consulting bundle also equip fractional practitioners to operate without it. The incumbents are building the infrastructure for their own replacement.

Beyond polemic

The article avoids the "disruption is inevitable" cliche in three ways. It presents a genuine counter-example: Publicis rebuilt itself as a data and AI platform, and the holding-company model is not inherently doomed, only the coordination-without-capability version. It tests the thesis against geography: China proves the mechanism is conditional; India may be bypassing the holding-company era entirely; PwC's full exit from Germany validates that the economics are structural. And it names what the optimists ignore: a governance gap, as fractional executives make consequential decisions across multiple organisations with less formal vetting than their full-time counterparts.

Key finding

The old bundle, the one that sold coordination as its primary value, is finished regardless of which scenario prevails. What replaces it may be a leaner version of the same institutions or a market where senior professionals, armed with AI and freed from hierarchy, serve clients directly. Both may resolve simultaneously in different segments.

Prologue: Two Worlds

The rise

February 2026. A marketing executive in Bengaluru opens her laptop at 7 AM. She has three clients today: a D2C skincare brand in Mumbai, a SaaS company in Singapore, and a manufacturing firm in Pune. None of them employ her full-time. All of them pay her more, collectively, than her last agency job paid.

She used to manage a team of fifteen at GroupM. Now she manages a network of freelancers, AI tools, and her own calendar. Her overhead is a co-working membership and a Canva subscription. Her strategy is her own. Her time is her own.

She is one of 120,000 people worldwide who now identify as "fractional executives," double the number from two years ago. On LinkedIn, profiles listing a fractional C-suite title surged from roughly 2,000 in 2022 to more than 110,000 by late 2024. In India alone, 17,000 professionals list "Fractional CMO" on their profiles.

This is not a career trend. It is a structural inversion of how professional work gets done.

What she doesn't realize is that she represents the endpoint of a transformation that began in a law firm twenty-five years ago.

The fall

The same month, 5,000 miles away, WPP is fighting for survival.

Mark Read left the CEO's chair in December 2025 after seven years of doing everything the restructuring playbook demanded: consolidating dozens of agencies, investing in AI partnerships with Microsoft, Google, and OpenAI, cutting more than 7,000 jobs, reducing debt by 44%. His successor is Cindy Rose, a Microsoft veteran with no agency experience. The board has essentially conceded that saving WPP requires someone from outside the industry that built it.

In October 2025, WPP launched "Open Pro," a self-serve AI platform allowing brands to create and publish campaigns without agency involvement. The tool was serviceable. It was also a confession: the company that built its value on irreplaceable human creativity had built the tool proving human creativity could be automated, just not at WPP's price point.

The connection

These two scenes are connected by a force that has been reshaping professional services for twenty-five years. It started in law firms. It accelerated through advertising. It is now completing across every industry that once bundled expertise into large institutions.

The pattern is consistent: cost pressure creates the question. Infrastructure provides the answer. The coordination layer collapses. And in its place emerges the fractional executive, senior talent that no longer needs institutional scaffolding.

This is that story.

Part I: The Mechanism

The disruption did not begin in 2022. AI is the accelerant, not the origin.

In every industry we studied, the infrastructure that enabled unbundling existed for years, sometimes decades, before unbundling actually happened. Legal databases existed in 1975. Social media APIs existed in 2007. Cloud collaboration tools existed in 2015. The infrastructure was ready. The unbundling waited.

What triggered it was the same thing every time: cost pressure gave clients permission to ask questions they had always been afraid to ask. The 2008 financial crisis made corporate general counsels question why they were paying $800 an hour for contract review. The procurement revolution of the 2010s made CMOs question why agencies charged 15% commissions on media they no longer created. The 2023 tech correction made CEOs question why they needed 200 consultants for a digital transformation.

Infrastructure determined the speed of unbundling. Cost pressure determined whether it happened at all. And a third variable determined the direction: client sophistication. The general counsel who moved contract review to an ALSP in 2010 became the CEO who questioned her consulting spend in 2023.

What was checked, what was kept, what was cut

Every statistic in the piece passed through a claims ledger before drafting. A sample of the record.

Claims dropped after failing source verification

CLAIM IN CIRCULATION	WHY IT WAS CUT
Fractional executive market "valued at $5.7 billion"	**Circular citation; traces to SEO blogs with no named research firm or methodology**
Gartner: "one-third of midsize companies will employ fractional executives by 2027"	**No such prediction exists on Gartner.com; misattribution propagated through the blog circuit**
Fractional CMO market "$1.27 billion, growing 5.9%"	**Untraceable; excluded unless a primary source surfaced. None did**
"500,000 fractional executives by 2030"	**Unsourced projection carried over from an earlier draft; removed**

Claims approved, with source and confidence

CLAIM	SOURCE	CONFIDENCE
LinkedIn fractional profiles: ~2,000 (2022) to 110,000+ (late 2024)	LinkedIn data via industry report	**High**
McKinsey: 25,000 agents, 40,000 humans, "25-squared" model	CEO on record (CES 2026; Business Insider; HBR)	**High**
BCG: slide tool used 450,000+ times	Business Insider	Medium-high
Deloitte: title change for 181,500 US employees	Fortune; Times of India	**High**
KPMG: 120+ country units to 30-40 by end 2026	WSJ; CFO Brew; Accountancy Age	**High**
PwC US entry offices 72 to 13; UK graduate intake cut	Business Insider; Fortune	**High**
WPP: -5.5% to -6% organic growth guidance, ~13% margin	WPP trading update (RNS)	**High**
Omnicom-IPG: $9B deal, 4,000+ cuts, $750M savings	Reuters; FT	**High**
US fractional practitioners: 60,000 to 120,000	Multiple industry surveys	Medium-high

Held out of the article as unverified

Four further figures supplied as informed estimates (a scenario split of fractional versus in-house versus platform versus traditional; India penetration rates; a 2027 India projection) were logged, marked unverified, and kept out of the text rather than dressed as findings.